

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,698		07/15/2003	Yoshiaki Oshima	1422-0595P	4920
2292	7590	10/21/2005		EXAMINER	
		Γ KOLASCH & BIR	MARCHESCHI, MICHAEL A		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ĺ			1755	

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
** Advisory Action	10/618,698	OSHIMA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Michael A. Marcheschi	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI  1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u> b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.	OR ALLOWANCE. Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	ndonment of ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dangle.	FIRST REPLY WAS F  36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	ILED WITHIN te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).</li> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying a	the issues for
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	: rejections based on obvious doub	ele patenting.	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6.8 and 9. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the process of the proces</li></ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	nt does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Michael A Marches Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1755	7 chi
1			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons set forth in the final rejection. In addition, the characteristics are based on the components present and amounts thereof. Since the references teach the same amount for the components one would expect the properties to be the same and applicants have not shown any clear evidence of criticality..